

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of	) Group Art Unit: 2157
Darran Potter, et al.	) ) Examiner: El Chanti, Hussein A.
Application No. 10/691,994	) ) Confirmation No.: 2452
Attorney Docket No. 50325-0837	) )
Filed: October 22, 2003	) )
For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SERVICE	) )
DEVELOPMENT OVER	) )
CONTENT-SPECIFIC SESSIONS	) )

**Mail Stop AF**  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**REPLY TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT**

Sir:

In reply to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed on October 15, 2008,  
applicants respectfully request reconsideration.

The Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment states that Applicants' signature included in  
Applicants' previous Reply is non-compliant because "[t]he signature includes a special  
character "#" sign which is not permitted under 37 CFR 1.4 (d)(2)(i)." (Notice of Non-Compliant  
Amendment, continuation sheet) This is incorrect.

Applicant signed in the form "/MalgorzataAKulczycka#50496/", which is **expressly  
authorized by 37 CFR 1.4 (d)(2)(ii)**, which states:

"(ii) A patent practitioner (§ 1.32(a)(1)), signing pursuant to §§ 1.33(b)(1)  
or 1.33(b)(2), must supply his/her registration number either as part of the  
S-signature, or immediately below or adjacent to the S-signature. The  
number **(#) character may be used** only as part of the S-signature when  
appearing before a practitioner's registration number; otherwise the  
number character may not be used in an S-signature. "

(Emphasis added.) Since the Applicants are represented by a patent practitioner, the patent practitioner was required to "supply her registration number [...] as part of the S-signature." The use of the "#" character preceding the practitioner's registration number in the S-signature complies with 37 CFR 1.4(d)(2)(ii).

The Notice relies on part (i) of Rule 4, but completely ignores part (ii), which plainly authorizes the form of signature that Applicants used. This is clearly erroneous.

Moreover, the Notice is defective because the Notice fails to identify the date of any particular paper in space 1. Thus, the Notice fails to give adequate notification to the applicants of any particular defect in any particular paper.

For all the foregoing reasons, the S-signature that Applicants provided in their most recently reply fully complies with all applicable rules. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Further, consideration of the Office Action filed by the Applicants on August 7, 2008 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP

Date: October 23, 2008

/MalgorzataAKulczycka#50496/

Malgorzata A. Kulczycka

Reg. No. 50,496

2055 Gateway Place, Suite 550  
San Jose, California 95110  
Telephone: (408) 414-1228  
Facsimile: (408) 414-1076